## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	

JUAN MANUEL VARGAS, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK N.A., et al., Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-02008-JST

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND SCHEDULING

Wells Fargo Bank is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why this action should not be remanded to state court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because Plaintiffs and Wells Fargo may not be diverse parties within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1348, 1332, and 1441(b)(2). The Court notes that Plaintiffs are citizens of California, where Wells Fargo has its principal place of business. See, e.g., Martinez v. Wells Fargo Bank, No. 12-cv-6006-EMC, 2013 WL 2237879 (N.D. Cal. May 21, 2013) (discussing Wachovia Bank v. Schmidt, 546 U.S. 303, 317 (2006), and American Surety Co. v. Bank of Cal., 133 F.2d 160, 162 (9th Cir. 1943)).

Wells Fargo may file a response to this Order of no more than twenty-five pages by August 26, 2013. Plaintiffs may file a responding brief of no more than twenty-five pages by September 9, 2013. Wells Fargo may file a reply of no more than fifteen pages by September 23, 2013. The parties shall appear for a hearing on this Order on October 3, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom 9, 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco, California.

The Court hereby VACATES the hearings on Wells Fargo's Motion for Summary Judgment scheduled for August 15, 2013, and Motion to Strike or Exclude Evidence of Damages scheduled for September 5, 2013. Additionally, the Court VACATES the remaining deadlines in

## Case 3:12-cv-02008-JST Document 92 Filed 08/12/13 Page 2 of 2

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

United States District Court Northern District of California 1

this action, including the date currently set for trial. The Court will reset the hearing dates and the
remaining case deadlines and trial date after the question of the Court's jurisdiction has been
resolved.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 12, 2013

